

STEVEN L. BESHEAR Governor

FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION CABINET DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

501 HIGH STREET FRANKFORT, KENTUCKY 40620 Phone (502) 564-3226 Fax (502) 564-3875 www.kentucky.gov LORI HUDSON FLANERY Secretary

THOMAS B. MILLER
Commissioner

In the matter of:	
	LLC
Contact:	FINAL RULING NO. 2013-60 November 15, 2013
Denial of Purch	hase Exemption Certificate
FI	NAL RULING
The Kentucky Department of purchase exemption (Form51A125) subtraction ("""). has protested this den	
personal property, digital property, or ser have qualified for exemption from inco Code, provided the tangible personal pr the educational, charitable, or religious exemption it seeks, it will be able to ma	te tax is provided in KRS 139.495(1) for sales of tangible rvices to resident nonprofit education institutions which ome taxation under \$501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue coperty, digital property, or service is used solely within a function. Thus, if is granted the purchase like tax-exempt purchases of tangible personal property, senting a completed purchase exemption certificate
which is exempt f	from income taxation under §501(c)(3) of the Internal s not exempt from income taxation under §501(c)(3).
* *	chase exemption states that its primary function is pre-kindergarten through twelfth. The applicant has for



many years operated a Christian school as a ministry of their church. On

church established itself as a separate limited liability Company (LLC).

2012, the

November 15, 2013 – Final Ruling No. 2013-60 Page 2

At issue is whether a splication for a purchase exemption based upon KRS 139.495 was properly denied by the DOR.

The taxpayer submitted a letter requesting the purchase exemption certificate without the prerequisite \$501(c)(3) determination letter for the school contends it has already qualified for tax exemption status under \$501(c)(3) of the Code and as a result is entitled to a sales and use tax purchase exemption under Kentucky statute. According to the taxpayer's representative, the IRS recognizes that a disregarded entity whose sole owner is a \$501(c)(3) organization is not required to file a Form 1023. Doing so would destroy the taxpayer's disregarded entity status for federal tax purposes. Instead, the disregarded entity inherits its parent's exemption. Because the notice requirement of Code \$508(a) does not apply to a disregarded entity that is wholly owned by a \$501(c)(3) organization, it will not file a Form 1023 and will not receive a separate determination letter. Its exemption under \$501(c)(3) is based on the parent's exemption, therefore, the relevant determination letter is that of the parent which was provided.

It is well settled that an exemption from taxation such as the one claimed by be strictly construed, with all doubts resolved against the exemption's application, the exemption will not be presumed or implied, but must instead be clearly stated. Popplewell's Alligator Dock No. 1, Inc. v. Revenue Cabinet, 133 S.W.3d 456, 461 (Ky. 2004); LWD Equipment, Inc. v. Revenue Cabinet, 136 S.W.3d 472, 475 (Ky. 2004). The burden rests squarely upon the taxpayer claiming an exemption to establish that it is entitled to the exemption and that all of the exemption's requirements are satisfied. Id.; Epsilon Trading Co., Inc. v. Revenue Cabinet, 775 S.W. 2d 937, 941 (Ky. App. 1989).

In this case, the exemption claimed cannot apply because itself has not qualified for an exemption from income taxation under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code.

The Department contends that in order to obtain the purchase exemption certificate, must be in compliance with KRS 139.495. In reviewing the IRS LLC Reference Guide Sheet, it states a single-member LLC seeking §501(c)(3) status must first amend its Articles of Organization to conform to the twelve criteria outlined in the IRS's Guide Sheet and took that action. However, DOR cannot independently verify whether Instructions. s Articles of Organization are or would be satisfactory to the IRS. these amendments to needs to request a Private Letter Ruling (PLR) The parent, from the IRS or a request for a group exemption letter, whichever may apply. The PLR specifically discusses the applicability of §501(c)(3) status to a disregarded LLC of a Section 501(c)(3) organization. The Department is merely requesting that or its parent follow the prescribed path set forth by the IRS for a §501(c)(3) status determination of a disregarded LLC of a §501(c)(3) organization.

November 15, 2013 – Final Ruling No. 2013-60 Page 3

For the exemption provided by KRS 139.495(1) to apply, it is the institution making the purchases in question that must have qualified for exemption from income taxation under §501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. That is not the case here. Accordingly, the DOR correctly denied application for a purchase exemption based on KRS 139.495.

This is the final ruling of the Department of Revenue.

APPEAL

You may appeal this final ruling to the Kentucky Board of Tax Appeals pursuant to the provisions of KRS 131.110, KRS 131.340-131.365, 103 KAR 1:010 and 802 KAR 1:010. If you decide to appeal this final ruling, your petition of appeal must be filed at the principal office of the Kentucky Board of Tax Appeals, 128 Brighton Park Boulevard, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601-3714, within thirty days from the date of this final ruling. The rules of the Kentucky Board of Tax Appeals, which are set forth in 802 KAR 1:010, require that the petition of appeal must:

- 1. Be filed in quintuplicate;
- 2. Contain a brief statement of the law and facts in issue;
- 3. Contain the petitioner's or appellant's position as to the law and facts; and
- 4. Include a copy of this final ruling with each copy of the petition of appeal.

The petition of appeal must be in writing and signed by the petitioner or appellant. Filings by facsimile or other electronic means shall not be accepted.

Proceedings before the Kentucky Board of Tax Appeals are conducted in accordance with 103 KAR 1:010, 802 KAR 1:010 and KRS 131.340-131.365 and KRS Chapter 13B. Formal hearings are held by the Board concerning the tax appeals before it, with all testimony and proceedings officially reported. Legal representation of parties to appeals before the Board is governed by the following rules set forth in Section 3 of 802 KAR 1:010:

- 1. An individual may represent himself in any proceedings before the Board where his individual tax liability is at issue or he may obtain an attorney to represent him in those proceedings:
- 2. An individual who is not an attorney may not represent any other individual or legal entity in any proceedings before the Board;
- 3. In accordance with Supreme Court Rule 3.020, if the appealing party is a corporation, trust, estate, partnership, joint venture, LLC or any other artificial legal entity, the entity must be represented by an attorney on all matters before the Board, including the filing of the petition of appeal. If the petition of appeal is filed by a non-attorney representative for the legal entity, the appeal will be dismissed by the Board; and
- 4. An attorney who is not licensed to practice in Kentucky may practice before the Board only if he complies with Rule 3.030(2) of the Rules of the Kentucky Supreme Court.

November 15, 2013 – Final Ruling No. 2013-60 Page 4

You will be notified by the Clerk of the Board of the date and time set for any hearing.

Sincerely,

FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION CABINET

Attorney Manager

Office of Legal Services for Revenue

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

CC:

Attn: